Measuring Competence in Field Settings: Improving Reliability and Validity

Discussion Points and Supplemental Resources

Annual Program Meeting, Council of Social Work Education

Discussants: Robert Ayasse, MSW, LCSW, Greg Merrill, MSW, LCSW, Susan Stone, Ph.D. School of Social Welfare

University of California at Berkeley

- 1. Prior Research and Motivating Assumptions
 - a. Valid and reliable assessment of student competency is crucial
 - b. Field instructors serve as a key rating resource, with some noted limitations (Bogo et al., 2007; Regehr et al., 2007)
 - c. Behaviorally based anchors are crucial in ratings (e.g., Bogo, 2014)
 - d. Measurement validation and refinement is a lengthy and iterative process (Adcock, 2001)
 - e. Measurement hinges on well-defined constructs
- 2. Holistic Competence as an Organizing Construct (Bogo, 2014)



- a. EPAS (2008) standards and practice behaviors generally map to this model
- b. Expectation that there may be an underlying latent uni-dimensionality to holistic competence
- 3. Instrument Development: Context, procedures, and process
 - a. Context
 - i. University-based field faculty with deep practice expertise
 - ii. Longstanding commitment to assessment of student competencies pre-EPAS
 - iii. History of strong collaborative relationships with field agencies and instructors
 - iv. Signals valuation of field instruction as well as field instructor input
 - v. Well-developed field instructor training infrastructure
 - 1. See: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=u37dibkLEWE
 - b. Procedures (see Ayasse (2016))
 - i. Use of expert consultation
 - ii. Reaffirmation activities allowed for feedback from field instructors
 - 1. Instructors desired feasible and meaningful tools
 - iii. Use of focus groups and piloting opportunities
 - iv. Provided training on rationale and use of instrument
- 4. Instrument Description (see other handouts)
 - a. Completed by Field Instructors 12 Areas reflecting EPAS 2008 and Holistic Competence (Engagement, Consultation, Coordination and Collaboration, Assessment, Intervention Planning, Intervention Implementation, Systemic Intervention, Evaluation, Transition

- Planning, Communication Skills, Professional Ethics, Professional Conduct, Professional Growth and Development)
- b. 55 items on a three point behaviorally anchored scale indicating: Not proficient, Competent, and Advanced; Option for unable to assess
- 5. Assessment of Psychometric Properties
 - a. Basic reliability assessment of items reflecting 10 EPAS competency standards
 - b. Use Rasch Rating Scale methods to:
 - i. Assess general properties of items and scale
 - ii. Assess uni-dimensionality (i.e., empirical face and content validation)
 - c. Sample: Pooled cohort of 183 Berkeley students rated by 155 field instructors at close of first year of MSW program
 - d. Reduced 55 items into 41 EPAS practice behaviors for ease of interpretation
 - e. Treated "unable to respond" as missing

6. Results

- a. Descriptive Statistics
 - i. Across most items (i.e. practice behaviors), the modal student was assessed as "competent" (75-80%), a significant minority were rated as "advanced" (15-20%)
 - ii. On all but a few items, "unable to assess" and "not proficient" were not utilized (1-2%)
- b. Instrument reliable (alpha=.89) and unidimensional (ordering of practice behaviors reflect Holistic Competence)
- c. Evidence of muted fit (<.5) suggests less productivity for measurement, but not "degrading" to measure
 - i. In rating context, suggests overuse of middle category (collusion, hidden constraints, conservatism)
- 7. Implications and Next Steps
 - a. Tweaking needed
 - i. More discrimination or more items?
 - 1. Emerging proficiency (Bogo, 2014)
 - ii. Some items difficult to observe?
 - iii. Problematic wording
 - b. Illustration of context and process of measurement development and initial testing
 - i. Long Horizon

References:

- Adcock, R. (2001, September). Measurement validity: A shared standard for qualitative and quantitative research. *American Political Science Association*, *95*, 529-546.
- Ayasse, R. (2016). Engaging field instructors to develop measurements of student learning outcomes in school social work settings. *The Field Educator*, *16*(1), 1-16.
- Bogo, M., Regehr, C., Power, R., & Regehr, G. (2007). When values collide: Field instructors' experiences of providing feedback and evaluating competence. *The Clinical Supervisor*, 26, 99-117.
- Bogo, M., Rawlings, M., Katz, E., & Logie, C. (2014). Using Simulation in Assessment and Teaching: OSCE Adapted for Social Work (Objective Structured Clinical Examination). CSWE: Alexandria, VA.
- Regehr, G., Bogo, M., Regehr, C., & Power, R. (2007). Can we build a better mousetrap? Improving the measures of practice performance in the field practicum. *Journal of Social Work Education*, *43*, 327-344.

Measure	Infit	${f z}$	Outfit	${f Z}$	Item				
Hardest to rate as advanced									
1.77	0.68	-1.4	0.34	-1.7	Recognize and manage personal values in a way that allows professional values to guide practice				
1.54	0.96	-0.1	0.39	-1.5	View themselves as learners and those with whom they work as informants				
1.53	0.81	-0.8	0.36	-1.6	Help clients resolve problems				
1.43	0.49	-2.8	0.17	-2.6	Provide leadership in promoting sustainable changes in service delivery and practice to improve the quality of services				
1.33	0.83	-0.8	0.37	-1.6	Collect, organize and interpret client data				
1.13	0.63	-2	0.33	-1.8	Engage in practices that advance social justice				
0.95	0.96	-0.1	1.24	0.6	Utilize conceptual frameworks to guide the process of assessment, intervention, and evaluation				
0.87	0.87	-0.6	0.69	-0.6	Engage in policy practice/ collaborate with colleagues for effective policy action				
0.8	1.09	0.5	1.12	0.4	Develop mutually agreed on goals and objectives				
0.78	0.89	-0.5	0.51	-1.2	Critique and apply knowledge to understand person and environment				
0.73	1.1	0.6	1.12	0.4	Initiate actions to achieve organizational goals				
0.62	0.76	-1.3	1.03	0.2	Select appropriate intervention strategies				
0.62	0.77	-1.3	0.55	-1.1	Distinguish, appraise, and integrate multiple sources of knowledge				
0.54	0.81	-1.1	0.54	-1.1	Advocate for human rights and social and economic justice				
0.5	1.15	0.8	1.08	0.3	Use empathy and other interpersonal skills				
0.44	1.05	0.3	0.79	-0.3	Continuously discover, appraise, and attend to contexts of practice				
0.39	0.75	-1.6	0.54	-1.1	Implement prevention interventions that enhance client capacities				
0.39	0.85	-0.8	0.63	-0.8	Analyze, monitor, and evaluate interventions				
0.35	0.95	-0.3	1.14	0.4	Use research evidence to inform practice				
0.32	1.1	0.7	0.77	-0.4	Attend to professional roles and boundaries				
0.18	0.96	-0.2	0.52	-1.2	Substantively and affectively prepare for actions with individuals, families, groups, organizations and communities				
0.04	1.2	1.3	1.04	0.2	Demonstrate effective oral and written communication				
0.04	0.91	-0.5	0.69	-0.7	Assess client strengths and limitations				
-0.01	0.84	-1.1	0.56	-1.1	Use practice experience to inform scientific inquiry				
-0.14	1.28	1.8	1.5	0.5	Develop a mutually agreed upon focus of work and desired outcomes				

Measure	Infit	${f Z}$	Outfit	${f Z}$	Item
-0.14	1.16	1.1	0.93	0	Facilitate transitions and endings
-0.14	1.17	1.1	1.1	0.4	Engage in career long learning
-0.2	0.86	-1	0.59	-1	Analyze models of assessment, prevention, intervention, and evaluation
-0.38	1.11	0.8	0.85	-0.2	Advocate for client access to the services of social work
-0.38	1.11	0.8	0.85	-0.2	Negotiate, mediate and advocate for clients
-0.48	1.12	0.9	0.95	0	Practice personal reflection and self-correction to assure continual professional development
-0.57	1.14	1.1	1.07	0.3	Apply strategies of ethical reasoning to arrive at principles decisions
-1.1	1.1	0.9	1.52	1.4	Gain sufficient self-awareness to eliminate the influence of personal values and biases
-1.2	1.19	1.7	1.32	1	Use supervision and consultation
-1.41	1.07	0.7	1.37	1.2	Understand the forms and mechanisms of oppression
-1.9	0.9	-1	0.71	-1.1	Make ethical decisions by applying standards of the NASW Code of Ethics
-1.98	1.09	0.9	0.99	0.1	Recognize and communicate understanding of importance of difference in shaping life experiences
-2.27	1.26	2.4	1.2	0.8	Tolerate ambiguity in resolving ethical conflicts
-2.43	0.92	-0.8	0.75	-0.9	Demonstrate professional demeanor in behavior, appearance, and communication
-2.59	1.11	1	1.33	1.2	Recognize the extent to which a culture's structures and values may oppress, marginalize, alienate

Easiest to rate as Advanced